Aflatoxin M₁ in Milk: Evaluation of Methods

ROBERT D. STUBBLEFIELD, GAIL M. SHANNON, and ODETTE L. SHOTWELL Northern Regional Research Laboratory, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Peoria, Ill. 61604

Six published methods for the determination of aflatoxin M1 in liquid and powdered milk were compared because a quantitative assay sensitive to 0.1 µg/L was needed for routine analysis. Each method was tested with both spiked and naturally contaminated samples at levels of 0.1, 0.5, and 1 μ g/L or 1, 5, and 10 μ g/ kg; recoveries of M1 were determined. Data revealed that 2 methods, one for liquid milk and one for powdered milk, had the desired sensitivity and recoveries. In the liquid milk method, M₁ is extracted and milk protein is precipitated simultaneously with methanolwater (4+1); in the powdered milk method, M1 is extracted with acetone-water (70+30) and milk protein is then precipitated with a lead acetate solution. Both methods remove fats from the aqueous acetone or methanol solu- \wedge tions with hexane before partition of M_1 into chloroform, Aflatoxin M1 is determined by thin layer chromatography of the chloroform extracts and either visual or densitometric measurement of mycotoxin on thin layer plates. Modifications were made to simplify the methods and to reduce the time required to complete the assays.

Aflatoxin M₁ was first detected in milk of cattle ingesting aflatoxin B₁-contaminated feed (1). In 1968, Purchase and Vorster (2) reported finding M₁ in 5 of 21 commercial milk samples in South Africa. A domestic survey of more than 400 milk samples by Brewington et al. (3) did not reveal a single instance of M₁ contamination; the method used by these workers was sensitive to 1 µg aflatoxin M_1/L . Since then, Kiermeier (4) found aflatoxin M1 in raw milk from German farms and in commercial milk supplies at levels of less than 1 μ g/L. When present in milk, this mycotoxin presents a potential hazard to human health and one that could be especially significant because milk is a major constituent of infant diets. Younger experimental animals are more affected by aflatoxin than are older animals (5). Without question, sensitive, reliable methods for detecting M_1 are needed. Methods for determining aflatoxin M_1 in milk should be capable of detecting levels as low as 0.1 μ g/L (liquid milk) or 1 μ g/kg (powdered milk). These sensitivity limits are essentially equivalent because 10 L liquid milk yields about 1 kg milk powder.

Several analytical methods have been published for determining aflatoxin M_1 in milk (6–10), but most were developed for animal feeding studies that resulted in milk samples with high M_1 levels and have not been tested with samples containing low M_1 levels. We compared 6 published methods in terms of recoveries of M_1 and detection of M_1 at levels of 0.1 μ g/L or 1 μ g/kg. Modifications that simplified procedures and reduced analysis time are described for the 2 best methods—one for liquid milk and one for powdered milk.

Experimental

Methods

The 6 methods compared are:

Method I: Masri et al. (6)

Method II: Purchase and Steyn (7), acetone-water (70+30)

Method III: Purchase and Steyn (7), acetonechloroform-water (38+58+4)

Method IV: Jacobson et al. (8) Method V: Roberts and Allcroft (9)

Method VI: Fehr et al. (10)

Sample Preparation and Assay

A naturally contaminated milk (NCM) powder (donated by Dr. I. F. H. Purchase when he was associated with National Institute for Nutritional Diseases, Pretoria, South Africa) was assayed in triplicate (1 g each) by Method II (7). The average aflatoxin M_1 concentration (215 μ g/kg) that we determined compared favorably with the value supplied with this powder. NCM samples were prepared by mixing required quantities of stock NCM powder with uncontaminated freeze-dried milk powder or by blending stock powder with fresh whole milk (3 min) before extraction. Values for all M_1 recoveries from

NCM samples were determined by comparing M_1 concentrations in the NCM samples with theoretical M_1 concentrations that assumed 215 μ g/kg for the stock NCM powder. Spiked milk samples were prepared by adding known volumes of standard aflatoxin M_1 solution (10 μ g/ml, in acetonitrile) to either fresh whole milk or uncontaminated milk powder. Samples for all 6 methods were prepared identically so that results could be compared in an unbiased manner.

Duplicate spiked and NCM samples with 0.1, 0.5, and 1 μ g $\rm M_1/L$ or 1, 5, and 10 μ g/kg were assayed by each liquid or powder method. All analyses were done under subdued light to prevent degradation of aflatoxin $\rm M_1$. Experimental procedures were as described in each liquid or powder method, except for thin layer chromatography (TLC) of chloroform extracts.

Thin Layer Chromatography

Dried residues from chloroform extracts produced by all 6 methods were dissolved in 100 μ l chloroform in Teflon-lined, screw-capped vials (1 dram). Extracts were applied by syringe to TLC plates (Adsorbosil-1, 0.5 mm wet thickness, Applied Science Laboratories, Inc., State College, Pa.). Plates were developed in isopropanol-acetone-chloroform (5+10+85) (11), and aflatoxin M₁ was determined with a spectrophotodensitometer (SD-3000, Schoeffel Instrument Corp., Westwood, N.J.) under longwave UV light (365 nm) (11). Residues extracted by Method V (9) were dissolved in 500 μ l chloroform, instead of 100 μ l for TLC and densitometry.

Partition Study

Aqueous acetone (80+20) (100 ml) and aqueous methanol (1+1) (625 ml), equivalent to deproteinized extraction mixtures described in respective Methods II (7) and IV (8), were spiked at a level of $500 \mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ with standard M_1 solution. Each aqueous mixture was extracted with chloroform, as described in the 2 methods, and after each extract was evaporated to dryness, aflatoxin M_1 in the individual residues was determined by TLC and densitometry.

Recommended Methods

Fluid Milk

26.C09 Reage

Reagents and Apparatus

See 26.001(k) and 26.031(b), plus the following: (a) Aflatoxin std solns.—Approx. 1.0 μ g aflatoxin M_1/ml CHCl₃. See 26.004–26.012. Use $\epsilon=19,950$ at max. 357 nm for aflatoxin M_1 .

(b) Vials.—1 dram, 26.001(m).

26.C10 Extraction

(Caution: See 46.005, 46.011, 46.056, and 46.066.)
Blend 75 ml fluid milk 3 min with 300 ml MeOH;

add 25 g Celite, 26.002(c)(1), and blend addnl 30 sec. Filter by vac. thru 1 cm Celite layer on coarse fritted glass buchner funnel. Press bed of Celite and pptd casein, and wash with 75 ml MeOH.

Transfer filtrate and washings (ca 375 ml) to 1 L separator and add ca 225 ml 4% NaCl soln. Ext with three 100 ml portions hexane to remove fat and discard hexane. Ext with three 100 ml portions CHCl₃. (Centrf. 10–15 min at ca 3000 rpm to break emulsions.) Combine CHCl₃ exts, wash with 300 ml 4% NaCl soln, dry ext over anhyd. Na₂SO₄, transfer to 1 L r-b flask, and evap. to near dryness. Quant. transfer ext to 1 dram vial with CHCl₃. Evap. just to dryness under stream of N.

26.C11 Preliminary Thin Layer Chromatography

Proceed as in 26.020(a), except weigh 50 g silica gel, coat plates with 0.5 mm thickness, and dry coated plates 1–2 hr at 105° ; (b), except add $100~\mu$ l CHCl₃ to vial, spot 2.5, 5, and two $10~\mu$ l spots on imaginary line 2 cm from bottom edge of TLC plate, spot 2 μ l std on top of one $10~\mu$ l sample origin spot as internal std, and place 100~ml isopropanol-acetone-CHCl₃ (5+10+85) in unlined developing tank; (c), except redissolve in calcd vol. CHCl₃; and (d), where W=ml sample extd and calcd concn is in μ g/L.

26.C12 Quantitative Thin Layer Chromatography

Proceed as in 26.038, except in (c) spot aflatoxin std to provide 3 ng M_1 /spot. W = ml sample represented by final ext and conen is in $\mu g/L$.

Powdered Milk

26.C13 Reagent

Lead acetate soln.—Dissolve $200 \, g \, Pb(OAc)_2$, $3H_2O$ in H_2O with warming, add 2 ml HOAc, and dil. to 1 L with H_2O .

26.C14 Extraction

(Caution: See 46.011, 46,046, 46.056, and 46.061.)

Quant. transfer 25 g milk powder to 500 ml g-s erlenmeyer contg 200 ml acetone-H₂O (7+3) and ca 150 two mm diam. glass beads. Shake flask 30 min on wrist-action shaker, 26.001(n). Filter suspension under vac. as in 26.C11, and rinse filter bed with 50 ml acetone-H₂O (7+3). Transfer quant. to 500 ml r-b flask and evap. solv. to ca 25 ml on rotary evaporator. Add 10 ml Pb(OAc)₂ soln, swirl to mix, and add 10 ml satd Na₂SO₄ soln. Transfer to 250 ml centrf. bottle, centrf. 20 min at 2000 rpm, and decant supernate into 250 ml separator. Resuspend ppt in 20 ml acetone-H₂O (1+4), repeat centrfg, and transfer.

Ext combined supernates with two 50 ml portions hexane, draining lower layer into 125 ml separator.

Method (ref.)	Extn app.	Extn solv.	Pptg agent	Column chromatgy
I (6)	Blender	MeOH-water ($(1+1)^a$	Yes
II (7)	Wrist shaker	Acetone-water (70+30)	Lead acetate	No
111 (7)	Soxhlet	Acetone-CHCI ₃ -water (38+58+4)	None	No
IV (8)	Blender	MeOH-water ($(4+1)^a$	Yes
V (9)	Water bath	Acetone-water (2+1)	Heat	No
VI (10)	Soxhlet	Methanol	HCI	No

Table 1. Summary of methods

Ext with three 50 ml portions CHCl₃. (Centrf. 10–15 min at ca 3000 rpm to break emulsions.) Dry combined CHCl₃ exts over anhyd. granular Na₂SO₄, evap. to near dryness, and transfer to 1 dram vial. Evap. to dryness under stream of N.

Proceed as in 26.C11 and 26.C12, except W = g sample in final ext and calcd conen is in $\mu g/kg$.

Results and Discussion

The 6 methods compared are summarized in Table 1. Methods I-III were designed to assay powdered milk, whereas Methods IV-VI were developed for liquid milk analysis. Powdered milk can be reconstituted with water and assayed by liquid milk methods, but the methods are more cumbersome to use because they require larger solvent volumes and, consequently, glassware of greater capacity. The basic steps are similar in 5 of the methods. Milk is extracted with an aqueous organic solvent mixture, and milk protein is precipitated. Clarified extracts are defatted with hexane and M_1 is partitioned into chloroform. Some procedures include column chromatography to purify extracts further before TLC analysis. Method VI (10) differs from the other 5 because liquid milk is treated with hydrochloric acid to separate aflatoxin M_1 and the curd from the whey fraction. The curd is refluxed in a Soxhlet apparatus with hexane to remove fats and with methanol to extract aflatoxin M_1 . Since no organic solvent is used to extract the initial milk sample, many impurities present in whey are eliminated from TLC extracts.

Aflatoxin M_1 recoveries determined for spiked and NCM samples by all 6 methods are compared in Table 2. Aflatoxin M_1 could not be detected at the lowest level (1 $\mu g/kg$) with Method I (6) because fluorescent impurities that obscured M_1 zones were present in chloroform extracts developed on TLC plates. These contaminants contributed significantly to low M_1 recoveries and

lack of sensitivity. Aflatoxin M_1 could not be detected at 0.1 $\mu g/L$ by Method V (9). Evaporation of chloroform extracts resulted in oily residues that had to be dissolved in 500 μ l chloroform to reduce viscosity for accurate spotting on TLC plates. The 5-fold dilution reduced the sensitivity of this method.

Aflatoxin M_1 recoveries decreased as mycotoxin concentration increased when samples were assayed by Method III (7). During the Soxhlet extraction, solvent channeled through the milk powder instead of completely saturating it. This condition may have been caused by the physical form of milk powder used to prepare the samples. Some freeze-drying processes produce hard, crystalline powders, whereas others give soft, electrostatic powders. Our equipment produced the soft powders.

Method II (7) was best for assaying powdered milk samples. Aflatoxin M_1 was easily detected at 1 μ g/kg and was satisfactorily recovered from all NCM samples (>90%). Less M_1 was recovered from spiked samples (65–75%); however, M_1 was recovered quantitatively when added directly to the extraction solvent instead of to the milk powder. The difference in recoveries from powder and solvent suggests that M_1 binds to milk protein. Similar losses occurred when powder was spiked with standard solutions prepared with chloroform or acetonitrile.

The best method to determine M_1 in liquid milk is Method IV (8). We recovered from 73 to 79% (0.1 μ g/L) and 90% or more (0.5 and 1 μ g/L) with both spiked and NCM samples. In contrast to low recoveries from powdered milk, aflatoxin M_1 is readily recovered from liquid milk that has been spiked with standard solutions. Analytical data for Method IV were acquired by omitting the column chromatographic step for several reasons: Calcium chloride-Celite columns were difficult to

 $^{^{}a}$ Aflatoxin M $_{1}$ is extracted and milk protein is precipitated simultaneously with aqueous methanol extraction mixtures.

30

ND

49

ND

67

71

95

75

NΠ

				by IIquia	and pow	aerea mi	ik methods"				
	M ₁ recovered, %						M ₁ recovered, % Liquid milk methods				
	Powdered milk methods										
		I		H	ı	11			IV		٧
g M ₁ /kg ^b	SM	NCM	SM	NCM	SM	NCM	μg M ₁ /L ^δ	SM	NCM	SM	NCM
10	20	57	65	94	68	53	1	99	105	86	91

78

0.5

0 1

Table 2. Comparison of aflatoxin M₁ recoveries from spiked and naturally contaminated samples by liquid and powdered milk methods^a

102

102

prepare, and aflatoxin M_1 was neither completely eluted from the column (ca 50%) nor regularly eluted in a specified fraction. Since the TLC solvent system, isopropanol-acetone-chloroform (5+10+85) (11), clearly separated contaminants from M_1 zones on plates, a column cleanup step was not necessary. A considerable reduction in analysis time is saved by omitting this step.

Data for samples analyzed by Method VI (10) are not included in Table 2 because the lowest level at which M_1 could be detected was 1 μ g/L. While only 25% of the total M_1 in the milk was recovered from the curd fraction, an additional 25% was discovered in the whey fraction. Other extracting solvents (methanol-water, acetone-water, and acetone), precipitating agents (ammonium sulfate and ethanol), and extracting apparatus (blender) failed to remove more than 55% of the total M_1 in liquid milk. As postulated with spiked milk powders, M_1 may be binding to the milk protein, or it may be occluding in the curd protein during the precipitation step.

Additional investigations with Methods II and IV were made to simplify procedures and reduce analysis time. Partition studies disclosed that one less chloroform extraction was needed than specified (Table 3). Almost all aflatoxin M_1 ($\geq 97\%$) can be extracted with 3 partitions from aqueous methanol (Method IV (8)) or 2 partitions from aqueous acetone (Method II (7)) with chloroform, even when aqueous mixtures contain levels of 500 μ g/L. Aqueous methanol extracts were satisfactorily defatted in our laboratory with 3 hexane extractions. Since the ratio of volumes of aqueous methanol-hexane is large (6+1) and since fat content in milk varies with different locations,

Table 3. Partition of aflatoxin M₁ into chloroform from aqueous extraction solvents

89

98

79

40

ND

	M ₁ recovered, % ^a			
Chloroform partition	Acetone-water (20+80) ^b	Methanol-water		
1	96	67		
2	2	23		
3	0.1	7		
Total	98	97		

^a Determined by TLC of chloroform extracts and densitometry of developed plates.

defatting sample extracts by Method IV (8) may require changes in volumes. Larger volumes of hexane (150–200 ml) should be used if an oily residue remains after evaporation of chloroform. Aqueous acetone extracts (Method II (7)) are sufficiently defatted with 2 hexane partitions because equal volumes of hexane and aqueous extract are used. When emulsions were formed during either hexane or chloroform partitions, centrifugation (15 min at 2000 rpm) always separated the layers.

Several different brands of fresh whole milk and all available NCM samples were extracted by Methods II and IV to reveal any ingredient that might interfere with assays. Although fluorescent zones were detected on TLC plates, none

^a Determined by TLC of chloroform extracts and densitometry of developed plates. Each value represents an average of 2 determinations. Aflatoxin M_1 recoveries for NCM samples assume that the concentrated NCM powder used to prepare the samples contained 215 μ g M_1 /kg. Methods and references given in Table 1. Abbreviations: SM = spiked milk; NCM = naturally contaminated milk; ND = not detected.

^b Liquid milk contains 10% solids; therefore, equivalent sample concentrations are represented by $\mu g/kg=10$ $\mu g/L$.

b Solvent mixture (50 ml) for Method II (7) was spiked with aflatoxin M_1 (500 $\mu g/L$) and extracted with 50 ml volumes of chloroform.

[°] Solvent mixture (625 ml) for Method IV (8) was spiked with aflatoxin M_1 (500 μ g/L) and extracted with 100 ml volumes of chloroform.

Mention of firm names or trade products does not imply endorsement or recommendation by the Department of Agriculture over other firms or similar products not mentioned.

was present in the area of aflatoxin M_1 zones. No aflatoxin M_1 was found in any commercial sample. Milk samples containing high levels of M_1 (200 μ g/kg) were prepared and quantitatively analyzed by both methods without difficulty.

The 2 modified methods (as described in Experimental) were recommended for testing in an international collaborative study conducted by the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) under the direction of Dr. I. F. H. Purchase (Purchase and Stubblefield, manuscript in preparation).¹

Note: During the preparation of this manuscript, J. D. McKinney (J. Amer. Oil Chem. Soc. 49, 444–445 (1972)) published a modified Jacobson method (Method IV) for determining aflatoxin M₁ in milk that is similar to the one we describe here.

Acknowledgments

We thank I. F. H. Purchase, now associated with Imperial Chemical Industries, Cheshire,

England, for providing the concentrated NCM powder.

References

- Alleroft, R., & Carnaghan, R. B. A. (1963) Vet. Rec. 75, 259
- (2) Purchase, I. F. H., & Vorster, L. J. (1968) S. Afr. Med. J. 42, 219
- (3) Brewington, C. R., Weihrauch, J. L., & Ogg,C. L. (1970) J. Dairy Sci. 53, 1509-1510
- (4) Kiermeier, F. (1972) IUPAC-Sponsored Symposium on Control of Mycotoxins, Göteborg, Sweden, p. 12 (Abstract)
- (5) Wogan, G. N. (1968) Fed. Proc. 27, 932-938
- (6) Masri, M. S., Page, J. R., & Garcia, V. C. (1969) JAOAC 52, 641-643
- (7) Purchase, I. F. H., & Steyn, P. S. (1967) JAOAC 50, 363-366
- (8) Jacobson, W. C., Harmeyer, W. C., & Wiseman, H. G. (1971) J. Dairy Sci. 54, 21-24
- (9) Roberts, B. A., & Allcroft, R. (1968) Food Cosmet. Toxicol. 6, 339–340
- (10) Fehr, P. M., Bernage, L., & Vassilopoulos, V. (1971) Lait 48, 371–391
- (11) Stubblefield, R. D., Shotwell, O. L., & Shannon, G. M. (1972) JAOAC 55, 762–767

This paper was presented as part of the report of the Associate Referee, R. D. Stubblefield, at the 86th Annual Meeting of the AOAC, Oct. 9-12, 1972, at Washington, D.C.



¹ On the basis of the collaborative results in this report, the methods for the determination of aflatoxin M_1 in fluid and powdered milks were adopted as official first action; see (1973) JAOAC 56, 400.